

| | | | |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/773,279 | NAKASHIMA, SHIN | |
| | Examiner Tamra L. Dicus | Art Unit 1774 | |

All Participants:

(1) Tamra L. Dicus.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Pam Veazey.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

all

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant verified case is abandoned.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


RENA DYE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A.U. 1774
519(05)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)